

Introduction

On May 30, 2016, the law schools of Seoul National University and the University of California at Berkeley, and the Judicial Policy Research Institute of the Republic of Korea, inaugurated a unique joint faculty workshop series. The first meeting, held in Seoul thanks to the generosity of SNU Law and the, brought together leading scholars from both schools to discuss their works in progress. This issue of the *Journal of Korean Law* provides a printed forum for the continuing discussion of these important issues.

Professor Sang Jo Jong of SNU moderated the first session, in which Laurent Mayali and John Yoo of UC Berkeley presented their paper on “US and European Approaches to Counter-Terrorism.” Professor Sang Won Lee of SNU joined the panel with his paper on “Search and Seizure Procedure of Digital Evidence.” Judge Jooseok Kim of the JPRI, Korean Prosecutor Hanjo Kim, and Dr. Jewoo Lee of the JPRI, provided valuable commentary.

In the second session, Professor Keun-Gwan Lee of SNU moderated the discussion of “The Authority of Judges to Review National Security Detention” by Professor Amanda Tyler of UC Berkeley and “Measures to Realize Sustainable and Efficient Justice From the Perspective of Information and Communications” by Judge Hoshin Won. Professor Woo-young Rhee of SNU and Korean attorney Youngik Lim provided insightful comments.

Professor Yong Lim of SNU moderated the third session. Professor Daniel Farber of UC Berkeley presented a paper on “The Gap in Environmental Law Between the Written Law and Law as It Is Actually Enforced by Regulators.” Professor Seong-Wook Heo of SNU presented a paper on “Recent Issues and Trends of Environmental Law and Policy in Korea.” Professor Taeku Lee of UC Berkeley, Eubong Lee of the Korea Legislation Research Institute, and Korean Attorney Gina Jeehyun Choi

provided helpful commentary.

All three panels revealed similar dynamics present in both the U.S. and Korean legal systems. In their own fields, the authors addressed the need to adapt existing legal structures to new challenges, such as terrorism, digital crime, social networks, and climate change. The scholars, both presenters and commentators, compared the differences between common law and civil law methods for addressing these problems, and whether codification or litigation provided the best process for reaching the best rules. They compared the most effective means for gathering information and compared the legal decisionmaking processes of the two countries under their very different legal systems. The paper drafts, sessions, and resulting articles published here show the benefits of a comparative and collaborative approach to solving the pressing legal problems of our day.

Finally, special gratitude to professor Laurent Mayali, professor John Yoo, professor Sang Jo Jong, and professor Kye Joung Lee for planning, organizing and delivering the whole session, and for what they plan to do together in the future.

John Yoo and Kye Joung Lee